FILED

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 05-11778 Non-Argument Calendar	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT AUGUST 11, 2005
D. C. D. 1 (A) 02 01077 CW DE	THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 03-01956-CV-BE	2-8
EMANUEL FORD,	
]	Plaintiff-Appellant,
versus	
BIRMINGHAM, CITY OF,	
	Defendant-Appellee.
Appeal from the United States District for the Northern District of Alaban	
(August 11, 2005)	
Before CARNES, MARCUS and COX, Circuit Judges.	

Plaintiff Emanuel Ford appeals following the district court's grant of summary judgment for the City of Birmingham on Ford's claims for false arrest and excessive

PER CURIAM:

use of force under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. We conclude that the district court properly granted the City's motion for summary judgment for the reasons stated in the district court's opinions. (R.2-32 and R.2-36.)

Ford also contends that the district court abused its discretion in denying Ford's motion to amend his complaint. "[I]t is not an abuse of discretion for a district court to deny a motion for leave to amend following the close of discovery, past the deadline for amendments, and past the deadline for filing dispositive motions." *Carruthers v. BSA Advertising, Inc.*, 357 F.3d 1213, 1218 (11th Cir. 2004) (quoting *Lowe's Home Centers, Inc. v. Olin Corp.*, 313 F.3d 1307, 1315 (11th Cir. 2002). Given that Ford's motion to amend the complaint was filed months after the deadline for amending the complaint had passed, we find no abuse of discretion in the district court's denial of Ford's motion to amend his complaint. (R.2-27 and R.2-30.)

## AFFIRMED.